	Case 2:23-cv-00519-DAD-AC Docume	ent 9 Filed 06/16/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID NATHANIEL ROBERTS,	No. 2:23-cv-00519-DAD-AC (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
14	PLRA,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
15	Defendant.	(Doc. Nos. 2, 7)
16		(200.1103.2, 7)
17		
18	Plaintiff David Roberts is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On April 7, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending that plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) be denied	
23	because: (1) he is subject to the three strikes bar under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); and (2) the	
24	allegations of plaintiff's complaint do not satisfy the "imminent danger of serious physical injury"	
25	exception to § 1915(g). (Doc. No. 7.) The magistrate judge also recommended that plaintiff be	
26	ordered to pay the required \$402.00 filing fee in full in order to proceed with this action. ( <i>Id.</i> at	
27	4.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
28	objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. ( <i>Id.</i> ) To date, no	

## 1 objections have been filed, and the time in which to do so has since passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has 2 3 conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the 4 undersigned concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and 5 proper analysis. 6 Accordingly, 7 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 7, 2023 (Doc. No. 7) are 8 adopted; 9 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is denied; 3. 10 Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall pay the 11 \$402.00 filing fee in full in order to proceed with this action; 12 4. Plaintiff is forewarned that failure to pay the filing fee within the specified time 13 will result in the dismissal of this action; and 14 5. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further 15 proceedings consistent with this order. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: **June 15, 2023** 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:23-cv-00519-DAD-AC Document 9 Filed 06/16/23 Page 2 of 2